Review of performance by 2016 of UK Overseas Territories and Crown Dependencies in implementing the 2001 Environment Charters or their equivalents and moving towards the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Targets

Edited by:

Sarah Barnsley, Emma Cary, Mike Pienkowski and Catherine Wensink UK Overseas Territories Conservation Forum

April 2016

This is the third review, following the first in 2007 and the second in 2010.



Measures of performance by 2016 of UK Overseas Territories and Crown Dependencies in implementing the 2001 Environment Charters or their equivalents and moving towards the Aichi Targets and Sustainable Development Targets

Edited by: Sarah Barnsley, Emma Cary, Mike Pienkowski and Catherine Wensink, UK Overseas Territories Conservation Forum

Published by UK Overseas Territories Conservation Forum (www.ukotcf.org)

First edition - April 2016 ISBN 978-1-911097-03-7 Corrected July 2016

© UK Overseas Territories Conservation Forum 2016
All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be
copied, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted
in any form by any means, electronic, mechanical,
recording or otherwise, without the prior written
permission of UKOTCF, except brief extracts for the
purpose of review, and no part of this publication may
be sold or hired. All reasonable requests for information
to be used in further reports, summaries etc will be
granted on the condition that this report is cited.

Although UKOTCF and the authors have taken all reasonable care in preparing this report, we make no warranty about the accuracy or completeness of its content and, to the maximum extent permitted, disclaim all liability arising from its use.

Front cover: masked boobies shelter from the sun under bush with noddy, Ducie Island, Pitcairn Group (Dr Mike Pienkowski).

Rear cover: morning view eastward from Sage Mountain over parts of Tortola and, beyond, some of the other British Virgin Islands (Dr Mike Pienkowski).

Contents

Summary3
Introduction11
Methods12
Consultations and Acknowledgements19
Summary of results on progress20
Montserrat22
Anguilla27
British Virgin Islands30
Turks & Caicos Islands35
Cayman Islands42
Bermuda46
Ascension Island51
St Helena55
Tristan da Cunha63
Tristan da Cunha 63 Falkland Islands 68
Falkland Islands68
Falkland Islands68 South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands72
Falkland Islands

Summary of cross-territory results on main further needs
UK Government & its commitments in relation to the further needs110
Appendix: Tables of more detailed collated information for each Territory118
1. Montserrat120
2. Anguilla143
3. British Virgin Islands164
4. Turks & Caicos Islands195
5. Cayman Islands223
6. Bermuda248
7. Ascension Island273
8. St Helena293
9. Tristan da Cunha318
10. Falkland Islands336
11. South Georgia & South Sandwich Islands348
12. British Antarctic Territory360
13. Pitcairn Islands370
14. British Indian Ocean Territory381
15. Cyprus Sovereign Base Areas392
16. Gibraltar403
17. Isle of Man425
18. Jersey437
19. Guernsey448
20. Alderney459
21. Sark470

Summary

This project aimed to collate information from the UK Overseas Territories and Crown Dependencies on progress towards implementing the 2001 Environment Charters signed by the Territories and the UK Government (or the equivalent international commitments for those territories without Charters). At the same time, we tried to assess progress towards the Aichi Targets, internationally agreed by the Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity and other Conventions. Late in the year-long process of collecting and checking information, the UN Sustainability Goals became available, and we have tried to relate to the relevant ones of these also: however, for reasons of timing outlined above, it was not practicable to relate directly to these in all parts of this report. Tables linking the Goals, Targets and Commitments of these three international agreements are provided.

To minimise the loading on very busy colleagues in the territories, UKOTCF personnel (working most of the time in an unpaid voluntary capacity) gathered the initial drafts using published material, information gathered by UKOTCF and the working knowledge of the UKOTCF network. Clearly, it was important that those working on-the-ground should check this and so, for 9 months, we have undertaken several rounds of consultations, by email, remote communications and using the gathering of the Gibraltar conference in July 2015. We are grateful for this input. However, we have to acknowledge that limitations on time available to territory partners mean that this report will include some errors and omissions despite best efforts to minimise these.

There is a great deal of information to summarise. We do it here at three levels. To keep as full a set of information as possible openly available, the Appendix shows our working tables. These are organised in relation to the Environment Charter Commitments, with some subdivisions to relate also to the Aichi Targets. This structure, and also its relation to the related Sustainable Development Goals, are explained in the main part of the report.

The main part of the report includes also: some background to this review and its predecessors; the derivation of another way of summarising the results, based on the Environment Charter/Aichi Targets classification, together with the structure of the conclusions and recommendations of the UKOTCF/ Gibraltar Sustaining Partnerships conference July 2015, and areas of shared interest identified by a series of meetings between NGOs and UK Government in 2014-5. The main part of the report then goes on to summarise the results for each territory using this classification. The territories are taken in a geographical sequence. This is followed by a summary of crossterritory results on main further needs, an analysis requested by some of the territories to aid future common planning. We do not attempt a formal analysis of progress by UK Government in meeting its own Commitments under the Environment Charter. This is because, in both previous reviews, UK Government found it difficult, partly because of the structure of those Commitments, to assemble information on progress. Instead, we hope that this review, and the discussions that it will assist, will help UK Government to support the priority needs that are identified.

In the Table on the following pages, we attempt an even more condensed version of the results. This is structured on a selection of the Environment Charter Commitments/ Aichi Targets used in the working tables shown in the Appendix. This is not complete but we hope that it gives an overview and also provides another way to identify some general patterns of progress made and areas where common opportunities to progress further could be made. To aid visual impressions, a simple colour-coding is used, as explained at the top of the Table.

Some aspects are apparent from this summary, including:

- 1. Most elements have shown at least some progress in most territories in the nearly 15 years since the Environment Charters were signed between UK Government and most UKOTs.
- 2. Almost all cases of progress have depended on cooperation between UKOT governments and UKOT NGOs, in many cases with support from UK NGOs and UK Government.
- 3. A few isolated aspects in a few territories have seen significant set-backs but, for some of these, there has been some recovery since.
- 4. For some aspects, spread unevenly across all territories, work is effectively complete; for most it is in progress; a few have yet to start.
- 5. The scarcity of progress on some aspects which would have little cost and could even have short- or long-term cost-savings was unexpected. Examples include: polluter-pays principle; the need for best-international-practice environmental impact assessments and strategic environmental assessments, and environment funds based on tourism taxes.

(continued on page 10, after the Table)

Table: Environment Charter (EC) Commitments/ Aichi Biodiversity Targets (AT)/ Sustainable Development Targets (SDT)

Achieved Progress No progress Set-back Recovery from set-back

EC Commitments/ AT & SDT Targets [See footnote to Table for full texts.]	Mont- serrat	Anguilla	BVI	TCI	Cayman	Ber- muda	Ascen- sion	St Helena	Tristan	Falk- lands	SGSSI	BAT	Pitcairn	вют	Cypr us SBA	Gibral- tar	Isle of Man	Jersey	Guern- sey	Alder- ney	Sark
		yet in	yet in place, except for climate- change.		being set up	group establis hed 2003	formalise d but good collaborat ion.	Env Ch			stakehold er	applica	Informal groups as well as Council	forum	but no longer		collabor ation in BSAP process	Parners hip	ble in same	team in Living	Not applica ble in same way.
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Law passed Plan awaited		needed.	Charter agreed but not fully implemented. BSAP needed	place, with		BAP in place & updated.		BSAP in place	in place	BSAP produced	really applica ble.	Mgmt		in place.	Action & Mgmt	Strategy agreed by Parl. BAPs being devel.			C	Some element s
financial resources to implement effectively Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020, increased substantially from current levels. SDT1.a. SDT10.b. & SDT17.3. all refer also.	fund needed Signif.	JNCC, UK NGOs etc. Is there a territory fund?	UK, UK NGOs etc. Looking to self- funding of NPT. BVI set up major climate- change	reinstating. Signif. funding in	(from visitor tax) not deployed. New law rectifies. Being set up. Funds		from AI Govt, UK Govt, UK NGOs.	from Govt, UK Govt, UK & local NGOs, & public.	between tiny economy and huge biodiversi ty. Funding from Govt, UK Govt, UK & local NGOs,	pa FIG (incl fisherie s); £2.4m 1999- 2015 from UK Govt.So me resourci ng from NGOs.	2014 Territory spend to Environm ent (plus fisheries 59%). 2010-5: £1.5m Darwin. Major funding via NGO	h budget of BAS part of NERC.	& other resourcing will continue to depend on UK Govt & NGOs	of current cons initiatives depends heavily on major NGO- sourced funds.	spend figures not availabl e. Some addition al suppose from Darwin, NGOs, & volunte ers.	now relativel y well resourc ed & Govt Gib	rsity Fund under conside ration	Projects & costs on website. Funds nat cons improve d since 2013. Dept Env receives 0.9% Govt income.	States of Guernse y annual	ed more	local funding & help

EC Commitments/ AT & SDT Targets [See footnote to Table for full texts.]	Mont- serrat	Anguilla	BVI	TCI	Cayman	Ber- muda	Ascen- sion	St Helena	Tristan	Falk- lands	SGSSI	BAT	Pitcairn	віот	Cypr us SBA	Gibral- tar	Isle of Man	Jersey	Guern- sey	Alder- ney	Sark
EC2. Ensure the protection	Some	ANT done	Network	On paper, TCI close	About 5%			National	44% of	Some	>10% of		Hender-	New	Work in		1	4	Govt &	Ramsar	Gouliot
and restoration of key	conservtn	much	of	to targets for site	of land	PAs				PAs.	EEZ is			MPA of		extende				Site.	Caves
		restor-	T.					Ordinance			PA.		WHS	all EEZ.				Sites &			and
	identified.	ation	areas		by govt or	aged by	Several	2003 not	is PA.				means		wetland	&		22 Ecol			Head-
								yet in			enables		that the		restore.	South-			manage	sea	land
		needs		A public consultation			listed;	force; will					numeric		1	ern		designat			Ramsar
	exist.			on protected areas in			more	permit					land target			waters			Good		Site.
		Sombrero		progress, but this	targeted	needed.	needed.	establish					is met,			SPA/				PAs	
		I being			under new				Nightin-			design-			\	SAC	others			about	Tradit-
					law. Some				gale I			nated in	MPA will			mean	propose		mgmt.		ional
		Ramsar	proposed.	0	PAs				needs						analog-		d				farm-
ε		Site	Law in		added;				Ramsar			covers		major site		land &					land
	relisting		prep for						listing.			94,000		of Chagos		sea					convert
2	under new		conservati						Marine			km ²			SACs &						ed to
	laws.		on outside						PAs not							area					vine-
	Working		reserves.						designate					plus some		targets					yard by
	on marine								d						Mgmt	met.					absente
	mgmt &													areas.	plan	Water					e
· ·	ocean															catch-					landlord
7	govern-			_									_		Pen in	ment					-
conserved effectively.	ance					1				Mainstr		Arguabl		Continued	place.		4.7% of				some
						of .	large				designate		Ducie	invasives		restored					slight
						marine				identifie				removal			2.6% of		Sites		halting
				1 1	listed	2			plan being		mgmt		Ramsar	needed.				Strat &	listed.		of this
				and even						for new	plans.		Sites.				protect-			2	recently
				government-					on. Gough			PA.					/	Park.		-ment	•
		T. 0		supported projects.	T 1				&	better						0 1		NTJ		plans in	
					Enhanced					PA						Gorham		restorin		prep.	
		needed of			system for					network							consult-	_		Comm-	
					marine		will be.		WHS &	on land								heathla		unity	
					PAs				Ramsar,	& sea								nd site.		wood-	
		ļ.			awaited.			the island.		(where							desig-			land	
		Sci site-		habitats outside					mgmt	none).							nated			planting	
		selection		protected areas or					plans.	More							2016 as UNESC			in	
		criteria,		activities in adjacent						mgmt plans &							O Bio-			place.	
		mgmt		areas.						other							sphere				
		plans &								action											
		coastal								plans							Reserve				
		restoratio								pians needed.											
		n needed								needed.											

EC Commitments/ AT & SDT Targets [See footnote to Table for full texts.]	Mont- serrat	Anguilla	BVI	TCI	Cayman	Ber- muda	Ascen- sion	St Helena	Tristan	Falk- lands	SGSSI	BAT	Pitcairn	вют	Cypr us SBA	Gibral- tar	Isle of Man	Jersey	Guern- sey	Alder- ney	Sark
AT12. By 2020 the	SAPs in	New law	Some		Some	Success	Seabird &	SAP for	Good	Good	Pipit &	BAS	Work	Extensive	Work in	SAPs in	Work in	Habitat	Good	Monitor	Mixed
extinction of known	place &	in place	SAPs in	since 2012 for	SAPs in	on	turtle	Wirebird;			probably	monitor	continues	research	progres	prog-	prog-	restor-	survey,	ing	decid-
threatened species has been	being	but imple-	place but	drafting time. SAPs	place but	cahow,	restor-	others		oring	seabirds		on	on range	s on		ress on		monitor	prog-	uous
prevented and their	prepared.	ment	more &		need		ation	needed.		fishe-	& plants	penguin	endemic	of taxa	illegal	Barbary	basking	led to	-ing	rammes	trees to
conservation status has	Orioles	needed.	monitor-	implementing.	updating		successful	Endemic		ries &	recover-	, seal,	plants,	taken	song-	Part-	sharks,	recover	data-	, studies	be
been improved and	stable.	Research	ing		under new		. Laws	invert-		birds.	ing.	krill.	soil	place, and	bird-	ridge &	insects,	of spp.	hand-	& APs	planted
sustained.	Mountain	on some	needed.		law.		updated	ebrates		Survey	-		erosion &	some	catchin	other	plants	Reintro	ling	in place	in
	Chicken	key	Enacting				and	assessed		&			wood-	restor-	g and	reintro-	&	projects	(Biol	for	March
	recovery	species	draft Nat				CITES-	Millenn-		training			lands.	ation (see	turtle	duction	others,	(with	Records	several	2016
	still	under-	Resources				compliant.	ium		starts				below).	losses.	s. Spp	incl	habitat	Centre,	spp.	
	blocked	taken.	& Climate		Orders &	Prog-	SAPs in		Fishery &		By-catch				Larger	&	BAPs.	restore)	also	Data-	
	by fungal		Change		SAPs	ress on		advancing	penguins	plants,	reduced to				fines			for	working		
	infection.		Bill will		needed	others.	more	well.	hit by	inverts,	neglible				needed.	trans-	lation to	others.	in other	ling &	
	Both have		address		under	Needs	needed.	Recently	wreck.	shallow	levels in				Other	location	be	Res-	Ch Is)	law-	
	captive		protection			review.		enacted	Much of	marine.	EEZ.				SAPs	s to	review-	earch		revision	
	breeding	Long-	of	Some improved	new law.			EPO	sea area		Other APs				need	avoid	ed.	on	integrat	develop	
	pops.	term	endanger-	marine regs, partly	Blue			includes	beyond		needed.				imple-	damage.		others.	ed	ing.	
		morat-		through help of NGO				spp	patrol						menting	Mac-			SAPs.		
		orium on		working with fishers.	moves			measures.	boat							aques					
		turtle			from				range.							manag-					
		hunting.			CrEn to											ed					
					En.																
EC2.		Dog		Major problems from			Work	SHNT	Eradic-	Close	Rodent		2011		Work in			3			Volunte
AT9. By 2020, invasive					removing			1		C 1			attempt on			invasive				1 0	er work
,		eradic-		1	green				mice from				Hender-	coconut		1 /		public			on
priority species are					C								son I	removal	invasio	but this		partic-		1	invasive
controlled or eradicated,	Centre	(major				animals			1	and			unsuccess		n acacia			ipation			plants.
and measures are in place to	/				Cayman	&	animals &	-	1 1			1	-ful &	ation of	in	- 5	for	on		limited	
manage pathways to	also initial			several programmes.	& prevent	1		security			island so		needs	native	ESBA.			Japan-		by lack	
	studies on	site).	needed.		1	Some		Policy			far) by		repeating.				menting		in prep.	of	
and establishment.	invasive				C Brac &			needs			SGHT.		Plant	rat		prog-		knot-		resourc	
SDT 15.8	plants.				-	projects		imple-		T	Reindeer		work	removal		rammes		weed.		es.	
						by govt		menting.		implem	removal		needed.	on a few			rial	Invasiv			
					lionfish	&				ent inv	achieved		Bio-	islands.				Spp			
						NGOs,				spp	by		security in					Strategy			
					Cayman.	but			wrecks.	plans.	GSGSSI,		progress.				,	in draft.			
						many	~				with				~ .		some	Collab-	~		
	Feral	Feasibility		The Caicos Pine	Law			Major			Norw help		Feral	Needs	Study		effectiv		Survey		
	animals in				improved	needed.	against		ation of	small			goats	extending			e bee	with	of		
	Exclusion			progressed well.				spp		islands			removed.	to other	needs		meas-	France	invas-		
	Zone	Scrub I. &					seabirds).			now rat-			Ducie &		needed.		ures &	& other	ives &		
		Prickly						inverts &	Inaccasibl	free.			Oeno now	spp.				Ch Is.	threats		
	major	Pear Cays						plants.	e Is				rat-free.				via		needed.		
	problem.								progress-								plan-				
									ing.								ing.				

EC Commitments/ AT &	Mont-		200	max		Ber-	Ascen-	St		Falk-	a caaay	2.5		DVOT.	Cypr	Gibral-	Isle of	Į.	Guern-	Alder-	
SDT Targets [See footnote to Table for full texts.]	serrat	Anguilla	BVI	TCI	Cayman	muda	sion	Helena	Tristan	lands	SGSSI	BAT	Pitcairn	BIOT	us SBA	tar	Man	Jersey	sey	ney	Sark
EC3. Ensure that	Previous-	Greening	Targeting	Set early example as	New law	EIA/	No	Land	Limited	EIA	EIAs open	EIA in	Work in	No dev or	Imple-	Dev	EIAs	EIAs	EIAs	Propos-	Sark
environmental	ly, envir-	the			good EIA/		Developm		Develop-		but policy				ment				required		design-
considerations are	onment	economy	renewable		SEA	need	ent	ment	ment			& UK	on waste-	laws.	best	being		for			ated
integrated within social and	not	analysis		1	measures	conduct	Control	Control	Control	in	develop-	has	mgmt,	Needs	practice				certain	adopt	Dark
economic planning	effective-	done,	Law	Charter; since set		ing & to	Frame-	Plan	Frame-			propos-	fisheries,	these &	EIA &	to stress	devs on	types of	types of	suitable	Sky
processes, promote	ly taken	though	changed	back.		inter-	work or	2012-	work &	not yet			agricul-	best	plan-	environ			develop		Comm-
sustainable patterns of	into	some	to allow			national	EIA or	2022	EIA or	really	practice.	improve	ture,	practice	ing.	-ment.	sea.	Work in	-ment.	ing &	unity
production and	account in	difficulty	renewable			best	SEA	underwent	SEA	tested.	Further	d	energy,	EIAs, as	Energy	Renew-			Waste-		2011
consumption.	planning.	in				practice	guide-	strategic	proced-	Waste	work to	proced-		well as	policy	able	in	ress to	mgmt &	Tidal	Trans-
EC4. Ensure that		deploying	Waste				lines.	social &	ures.	mgmt	be done	ures.	tourism &	account-	needs	energy,	devel.	improve	farming	energy	port
environmental impact	New law	this info	mgmt	Later greening		Political	Beach	environ-	Waste	strateg-	on	Tour-	repopul-	ability of	develop	recyc-			policies		sustaina
assessments are undertaken	& policy	into	proced-	economy exercise		account	mining	mental	systems	ies also	tourism &	ism	ation	US Base.	ing.	ling &	on bog-	ures.	in	develop	ble, as
before approving major		actions.	ures	noted need for an		-ability	needs to	assess-	needed.	needed.	bio-	well	strategies.	Energy	Fishery,				place.	-ed.	no cars.
projects and while	and		improving			needs	stop.	ment	but illegal		security.	regulat-		inefficient		_	on re	compli-	Renew-	Agric &	Renew
developing our growth				environmental NGO,		strength		process.	fishing a		Primary	ed.		. Future of		ying			able	fishery	energy
management strategy.	started,	damage of	other	as well as the		-ening		Renew-	problem.		power is			marine	laws	plans in	wildlife	agric.	energy	have	option
EC5. Commit to open and	but imple-	sand-	fishing	ineffective and		in areas.		able	Fisheries		hydro.			protection	need	place.	; Env	Assist-	options	envir.	under
consultative decision-	mentation	mining	issues	secretive planning				energy	well					vessel	enforce-	Plann-	conside	ance for	(incl	policy.	study
making on developments	needed.	noted.		process without				invest-	regulated					needs	ing.	ing &	ration in	busin-	wind,	Waste-	(with
and plans which may affect		Soil-	addressed.	adequate EIA or				ment.	Main-					clarifying.		EIA	new	esses re	tidal,	mgmt	Guern-
the environment; ensure		mgmt	Greening	SEA, this having				Policies	streaming					(Replace-		process-	laws,				sey).
that environmental impact		training	the	resulted in losses to				on access	practiced.					ment		es open			policy	being	Marine
assessments include		done.		domestic and				&	Harbour					being			3,			develop	as
consultation with			analysis	international				licensing,	repair					arranged)		improve		_	review-	-ed.	Guern-
stakeholders.			done,	protected areas.				of	again &							-ing.	`	systems			sey.
AT2. By 2020, at the				Important conch,					deepening								zero),		Fishing		
latest, biodiversity values				lobster & fin				cial and	in								23	Researc	method		
have been integrated into				fisheries damaged by					progress							in place			S		
national and local				over-fishing as well					2016, but							for govt	/		improve		
development and planning				as by illegal dredging				_	more								possibly		-ed.		
processes and are being				for resort					basic									energy.			
incorporated into national				development. Sand-				being	work may							`	80% red				
accounting & reporting				dunes (& flood				reviewed.	be								in	ies			
systems.				resilience) lost to					needed.							/	greenho				
				built development,												1 2		ures in			
				even bordering													_	nego-			
				marine Protected														tiation.			
				Areas, & beaches to												scientif-		Sewage			
	Emr	Land	Idontify	illegal sand-mining. NGO studies have	maad	2014.	Much of	EIA		40%	Fisheries	Min one 1	Mood to	Weste				systems being			Plannin
		Land										Mineral		Waste-		-		upgrad-			
	valued for						energy	legislation		domesti		extract-	•	mgmt			approac				g/EIA
		ment Control			menting. Climate	govts	wind renewable	adopted		c, % 90%	certified.	ion banned.		improved.			h with	cu.			system
		Control basic with			change	signed Hamilto	renewable			90% farm		banned.	practice.				fisherie				needs
			SEA		cnange policy	n iaiiiiilo	•	regulation 2013					practice.			CIICC.	6				develop -ing.
<u> </u>	on geo-	пО	SEA	renewable (allu	poncy	П		2013		energy							_				nig.

EC Commitments/ AT & SDT Targets [See footnote to Table for full texts.]	Mont- serrat	Anguilla	BVI	TCI	Cayman	Ber- muda	Ascen- sion	St Helena	Tristan	Falk- lands	SGSSI	BAT	Pitcairn	ВІОТ	Cypr us SBA	Gibral- tar	Isle of Man	Jersey	Guern- sey	Alder- ney	Sark
	sustain- able fisheries, potential forestry. Water from forest veg & soil as reservoir.	account- ability	ures and update EIA proced- ures. Yachting strategy needs clarifying.	monopoly. Joined Carbon War Room initiative but actions not yet apparent. TC Reef Fund, TC National Museum, UKOTCF & MCS, with DEMA, have developed some sustainable approaches in marine, water/vegetation/ crops, and reserve visiting but all under- resourced relative to urgent need.	imple- menting. Ocean thermal energy being investi- gated. Renew- able energy materials duty-free. Various green sschemes being run. Some marine protection	Declaration on the Collaboration for the Conservation of the Sargass o Sea. New sust devunit in govt. Good fishery regs.		guides. Land Planning & Development Control Ordinance 2013 requires planning & appeal decisions are made in public. NEMP: all new policies will include public consult- ation.		renewa ble. Farm- ing moving to sustain- able. Mineral extract- ion with strong env aspect. Fisher- ies certified sustain- able.							working		SEAs not yet requred.		SEA not require. Waste mgmt needs develop -ment Vine- yard mgmt causes pollut- ion.
EC6. Implement effectively obligations under the Multilateral Environmental Agreements already extended to the Territory and work towards the extension of other relevant agreements.	In Ramsar, CITES, CMS.	In Ramsar (listing first Site). Joined CITES.	(1 Site; others needed), CITES, CMS,	In CMS & Ramsar.	1 Site; others needed), CITES, CMS, CBD			In CBD, Ramsar, CITES, CMS.	Sites; others needed), CITES, CMS, ACAP, CBD.	others needed) CITES, CMS,		Antarctic Treaty system	In Ramsar, CITES, CMS; Cetacean MOU.		In Ramsar (1 Site), CMS.	Ramsar, CITES, CMS, ACCO BAMS, Eurobat s, EU		In CBD, Ramsar (4 Sites), CITES, CMS.	Ramsar (2	In Ramsar (1 Site), CITES, CMS.	In Ramsar (1 Site), CITES, CMS.
	Not CBD. New CITES leg. & Ramsar Sites needed.	Not yet CBD, CMS.		Not CBD, CITES (laws waiting for draftsman since 2012		CBD.	Site	Designate Ramsar Sites.			Ramsar Sites needed.		Sites needed	CBD.	CITES	wants ICCAT & Barcelo na. Ramsar	O Biosphe re Reserve	joining IntPlant Prot	CBD.	in Guern- sey process to begin work on	in Guern- sey

EC Commitments/ AT & SDT Targets [See footnote to Table for full texts.]	Mont- serrat	Anguilla	BVI	TCI	Cayman	Ber- muda	Ascen- sion	St Helena	Tristan	Falk- lands	SGSSI	BAT	Pitcairn	вют	Cypr us SBA	Gibral- tar	Isle of Man	Jersey	Guern- sey	Alder- ney	Sark
EC8. Ensure that legislation & policies reflect the principle that the polluter should pay for	New laws address, to be imple- mented		Draft Nat Resources & Climate Change Bill would embed polluter-	Reduced resources, due to the ending of the Conservation Fund, ended some marine monitoring. A brief period of effective legal enforcement (with compensation benefitting conservation) needs re-starting. Laws & enforcement needed re terrestrial pollution. Sea-grass beds & coral reefs remain vulnerable to run-off from land-based activities as well as built development.	compens- ation income to Env Pr Fund.	develop -ment needed.	Monitorin g in place.	Pays principle incorpor- ated in NEMP. Pollution incident reporting system set up. Pollution Policy needs to	some compensation received re bulk carrier (& the oil-rig incident), it seems that these were in- adequate to cover long-term monitor- ing or damage.	place re oil pollut- ion. Not clear whether there is specific	shipwreck s & Grytviken cleaned-up. Not clear whether there is specific		targeted at internal incidents.	of recovery work and	specific. Seas polluted . Litter a	-ing & APs in place. Law on polluter -pays in prep.	New law needed re seas. Has Water Pollution & Oil Spill plans, river monitoring, & enforcement bodies.	Sea & ground water monitor -ing regular. Farm water pollution plan	but do not include polluter -pays princ- iple.	not yet in law. Oil-spill plan in place.	Laws do not include polluter -pays princ- iple, giving problem in dealing with land- owners evicting tenant & convert- ing to vine- yard pract- ices.
EC10. Promote publications that spread		approach- es; more adult	including interpretation funded by EU &		courses developed More could be done.	opport- unities for schools & for conserv -ation volunt- eering	ation material. More adult education	, outreach & other facilities developed More incorpor- ation into curricul- um & more opportune	has varied but training & NGO- provided materials there again; Better info	mater- ials & activit- ies avail- able.	schools in territory. Improving public awareness	schools in territory Various public aware- ness mater- ials.	does not mention environ- ment. Range of education/	ation material by NGOs. ZSL (with UK Govt support) has outreach prog- ramme for Chagoss- ians in UK.	import- ant in SBA & Repub- lic, with courses etc.	educ & aware-ness mate-ials & courses produc-	schools, and re marine amongs t others.	Good env engage- ment by schools, but curricul -um develop ment	central to school curricul -um. Other aware- ness material also avail-	& involve- ment projects as well as sustain develop theme	Local adult & junior wildlife clubs.

- EC1. Bring together government departments, representatives of local industry and commerce, environment and heritage organisations, the Governor's office, individual environmental champions and other community representatives in a forum to formulate a detailed strategy for action.
- AT17. By 2015 each Party has developed, adopted as a policy instrument, and has commenced implementing an effective, participatory and updated national biodiversity strategy and action plan. (Relates also to EC5)

EC1.

- AT20. By 2020, at the latest, the mobilization of financial resources for effectively implementing the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 from all sources, and in accordance with the consolidated and agreed process in the Strategy for Resource Mobilization, should increase substantially from the current levels. This target will be subject to changes contingent to resource needs assessments to be developed and reported by Parties.
- SDT1.a. Ensure significant mobilization of resources from a variety of sources, including through enhanced development cooperation, in order to provide adequate and predictable means for developing countries, in particular least developed countries, to implement programmes and policies to end poverty in all its dimensions.
- 10.b. Encourage official development assistance and financial flows, including foreign direct investment, to States where the need is greatest, in particular least developed countries, African countries, small island developing States and landlocked developing countries, in accordance with their national plans and programmes.
- 17.3 Mobilize additional financial resources for developing countries from multiple sources.
- EC2. Ensure the protection and restoration of key habitats, species and landscape features through legislation and appropriate management structures and mechanisms, including a protected areas policy, and attempt the control and eradication of invasive species.
- AT5. By 2020, the rate of loss of all natural habitats, including forests, is at least halved and where feasible brought close to zero, and degradation and fragmentation is significantly reduced. (Relates also to EC4)
- AT11. By 2020, at least 17 per cent of terrestrial and inland water, and 10 per cent of coastal and marine areas, especially areas of particular importance for biodiversity and ecosystem services, are conserved through effectively and equitably managed, ecologically representative and well connected systems of protected areas and other effective area-based conservation measures, and integrated into the wider landscapes and seascapes.
- AT12. By 2020 the extinction of known threatened species has been prevented and their conservation status, particularly of those most in decline, has been improved and sustained.

EC2.

- AT9. By 2020, invasive alien species and pathways are identified and prioritized, priority species are controlled or eradicated, and measures are in place to manage pathways to prevent their introduction and establishment.
- SDT 15.8 By 2020, introduce measures to prevent the introduction and significantly reduce the impact of invasive alien species on land and water ecosystems and control or eradicate the priority species.
- EC3. Ensure that environmental considerations are integrated within social and economic planning processes, promote sustainable patterns of production and consumption within the Territory.
- EC4. Ensure that environmental impact assessments are undertaken before approving major projects and while developing our growth management strategy.
- EC5. Commit to open and consultative decision-making on developments and plans which may affect the environment; ensure that environmental impact assessments include consultation with stakeholders.
- AT2. By 2020, at the latest, biodiversity values have been integrated into national and local development and poverty reduction strategies and planning processes and are being incorporated into national accounting, as appropriate, and reporting systems.
- EC6. Implement effectively obligations under the Multilateral Environmental Agreements already extended to the Territory and work towards the extension of other relevant agreements.
- EC8. Ensure that legislation and policies reflect the principle that the polluter should pay for prevention or remedies; establish effective monitoring and enforcement mechanisms.
- AT8. By 2020, pollution, including from excess nutrients, has been brought to levels that are not detrimental to ecosystem function and biodiversity. (Relates also to EC3&4)
- EC9. Encourage teaching within schools to promote the value of our local environment (natural and built) and to explain its role within the regional and global environment.
- EC10. Promote publications that spread awareness of the special features of the environment in the Territory; promote within the Territory the guiding principles set out above.
- AT1. By 2020, at the latest, people are aware of the values of biodiversity and the steps they can take to conserve and use it sustainably.

(continued from page 3)

- 6. The wide progress on sign-up to international conventions is encouraging, especially taken with the recent and current moves by remaining territories to sign-up to the Convention on Biological Diversity (and of the very few not yet signed up to CITES and the Convention on Migratory Species). Both UK Government and NGOs, including UKOTCF, remain ready to help with this and with the designation of Ramsar Convention Wetlands of International Importance.
- 7. Progress on environmental education and

- awareness is also good; this subject is given priority by many territories, with continued support from several organisations including UKOTCF. Much more progress could be made if UK Government re-instated eligibility of such projects for its funding to the UKOTs after removing it in 2010.
- 8. The start of opening up to a more environmentally sustainable approach within a wide range of economic activities has become evident. Some UKOT governments and several NGOs are taking a lead in this. The potential is great also for further empowering local communities and businesses of all

sizes to assume responsibility to drive this forward, both for the good of society and for direct economic benefit.

This is just a first pass. Some examples of identified needs shared by several or all territories are identified in the relevant section of the main part of the report. We look forward to working with partners in governments and NGOs in UKOTs and elsewhere to build further on these analyses and opportunities.